

## Chapter Four

### Ownership

The notion of ownership embraces the idea of responsibility. If you own, you are responsible for... Currently, upon this planet, the idea of ownership incorporates the enslavement of many for the benefit of a few.

'Let the People Go!'

In reality, nothing can be owned other than that which is truly your own...yourself. Even an idea is not your own once it has been shared, for the moment in time that you *have* the idea, is fleeting. An idea incorporates the notion of responsibility. An idea carries an impetus, an intention to share the idea, to manifest the idea, to create from that idea. It, by its very nature then, cannot be owned for long. What then is 'Copyright' other than a civil request to honour the original source of the idea?

$NF + 1 = C$

The very act of bringing an idea into form pre-empts a release into Natural Forces which no one owns: the process of creation.

An idea manifested becomes the (+1) which changes the *natural forces*. The world is different (be) cause you have brought this idea into form. You are different: *All is changed*. The presence of the manifested idea takes its position in Time & Space. IT IS.

No one owns what *IS*.

The land you like to believe you own is changed by your presence. You are responsible for that change. You are the (+1) added to the (nf). You reside temporarily upon that land as it changes with you. How then can you own something which lives of its own accord in harmony with you - and you with it? Your presence is as real as the land itself. If the land becomes dis/eased in your presence, you are responsible for being there and it is responsible for being there. Together, you and the land, you and nature in all its Kingdoms, can live in harmony.

To lease the land is more realistic than ownership. You are temporary life forms compared to the extended time/space nature of the land you inhabit. The true state of being is all in harmonious co-existence. The notion of money for land is erroneous. It brings decay. <sup>1</sup>

Presently, in many societies, once land is bought, paid for, and owned . . . all that remains is payment for services to that land. This is a useful notion if services and the maintenance of those services, is costly. The responsibility for that land then becomes yours. The only reason why the process of buying land is still in use is the idea of *freedom*.

People want to feel and be free. Freedom means survival. Survival requires you are free to choose to survive. Currently, many people cannot afford to buy land with which to be free to live in harmony. Instead, they rent or lease land from an owner whose responsibility it is to ensure the services to that land. If the services are costly and do not meet people's needs then the tenants' freedom to survive is limited.

The more expensive the land, the greater the idea of having 'fulfilled' the responsibility for services. By choosing to live in harmony (or disharmony) with time and space, you create change. That change is then 'valued' according to the social consciousness. The social consciousness determines the amount of money one must pay for residency upon that land. The more immersed you are in social consciousness, the more the land will cost. This is an illusory form of existence.

In truth, land is priceless, as is Life.

It is more authentic to evaluate serviced land on the quality of the enhancement of harmonious living within its natural environment, rather than what is currently viewed as position / development / social acceptability.

---

<sup>1</sup> This statement has provoked intense responses and triggered much discussion over the years. In 2007, it is apparent that human rampant polluting and ravaging of the oceans, the rivers and the land itself, throughout the world, IS based on financial gain. In Western societies, 'property development' is a lucrative market. Rarely, does the 'development' improve human harmony-with-nature.

The true intention of the human soul is to maintain harmony and joy in its existence. The natural state of the soul is as natural as nature itself. How and with what does nature PAY for its presence, its dynamic existence, its being? If humans are natural then be likewise.<sup>2</sup>

Be fruitful, flower, give shelter and enhance the world by your existence.

Nature heals itself. That is natural.

You heal yourself. That is natural.

The process of healing cannot be owned. Plants that heal and plants that bear fruit and grain can never be owned. Seeds are creation's insurance of Life. Life is infinite. Temporary ownership of Life does not guarantee Life. Attempted ownership of the seeds of creation is theft. It is an outer expression of an inner belief in slavery. The perpetuation of slavery constricts life. The active limitation of life causes disease and decay.

[Ownership of animals and objects](#) is another area of responsibility. All forms are temporary containers for the eternal stream of Life. The owner of the objects, and the living Kingdoms of animals and plants, chooses to interact with these forms of life.

The interactions and relationships between forms change the [Natural Forces](#). You, the owner, become the (+1) added to the [Natural Forces](#).

Life continues: interacting, harmonising then changing what was to what now IS. This is the dynamic. The process of creation is neither begun nor ended by anyone. That is no one's responsibility. That is not owned by anybody. That IS.

The idea of ownership emerges from the desire to interact and to maintain control over that interaction. The temporary 'owner' seeks to secularise the 'ability' to respond.

---

<sup>2</sup> This point is discussed in the notes to include the current notion: 'to earn a living'.

Yet, the *attempt to own* changes all life units involved in the interaction . . . the original life unit changes in the process. What one sought to own no longer exists in its original form.

The only life unit with which you are continually interacting is yourself. For this you are responsible. Yet you did not create yourself for you are the fruit of Life itself. Who or what then can own Life?

If you consider you are responsible for yourself and therefore maintain yourself, then you are surviving. If you are surviving you are free to live.

If you cease to exist as a life form, then the world ( *NF* ) will change. The withdrawal of your soul from matter, (-1) changes the physical world. The soul is free of the responsibility to maintain interaction with the physical form. It is free. It is natural. It IS.

The physical form does not continue to maintain itself without the soul. The soul continues to live without the body.

Between body and soul is an interaction, a dynamic harmonious co-operative relationship whereby you are both responding . . . responsive . . . responsible. This IS ownership. To stop an 'other' from surviving is to cease the interaction. That is the choice. This is an outer expression of Free Will.

An 'owner', then, is one who chooses to interact - and continue with the ability to respond within that interaction.

Money has nothing to do with ownership. Money is merely the evaluation placed upon that interaction from the perspective of social consciousness. Social consciousness changes as part of the interaction. This is why social values change.

**Interference** in the survival of any life form is an expression of the miscomprehension of ownership which seeks to limit or end the responsibility to interact.<sup>3</sup>

The predatory instinct of the Animal Kingdom interacts long enough to bring the life form of the food source to an end. It consumes that Life Force for itself. The interaction is complete.

The consumption of the Plant Kingdom by the Animal and Human Kingdoms does not seek to end the life forms completely. It chooses instead to save seed that perpetuates the life process of those forms.

In the HU-man Kingdom, an individual's choice to survive may pre-empt a decision to step beyond the social (herd) consciousness into soul consciousness. This occurs if the social consciousness actively seeks to limit the chances of survival of some of its participating species. It is once more an outer expression of an inner decision to cease interaction with those to whom it no longer chooses to relate.

The consequence of this social behaviour is what you refer to as 'the dark night of the soul' wherein the individual's soul chooses to continue to interact with the life form it inhabits, or to vacate. In that process both the form and the soul experience an expansion of awareness and consciousness that seeks to harmonise with all that is natural. This expands the **NF**, the Natural Field or Forces of Life. The resulting epiphany is the HU awakening in the Man. The activated HU becomes the **(+1)** which may or may not be comfortably integrated by the consciousness of the biological form.

This is the process of conscious evolution which is the subjective expression of Creation. This can only occur in a Son, or Creation Universe.

---

<sup>3</sup> In a world of immense diversity such as we enjoy on Urth - the respect for the survival of any species is vital to maintaining that diversity. If we do not want to interact or relate to a particular life form, we have many others from which to choose. It is an 'anchovies' thing – we like them or we don't like them. We do not have to commit genocide on anchovies if we do not wish to interact with them.

In Time, perchance, social consciousness may emerge as Group consciousness that seeks to nurture and interact with ALL its participating life forms.

This is the Divine Plan.

Sojourn...

## Notes: Ownership

1. The modern concept of the work ethic is based upon a paradigm that a human must 'earn a living'. Life is granted to us by the fact of our existence. The harnessing of life force within the species for the perpetuation of a social order is a sophisticated form of slavery. Perhaps this is the purpose inherent in the call to: 'Let the People Go!'

The reference to the 'enslavement of many for the benefit of a few' bears close resemblance to the hierarchical model: 'To use many to make much for a few'.

Here we have a further layer of understanding as to how these models have 'framed' a deeper intention – to control and harvest the life force with reduced responsibility for its participating life forms.

2. Much discussion has arisen from this chapter. Many people (across the globe!) have noticed the extent of the notion of ownership in our social mores and norms. Mostly frequently raised issues:

- Family Life generally is of concern to the youth age group. The presumption of 'ownership' of children by parents, particularly in reference to Career Paths and Vocational interests.
- Likewise, the presumed ownership of schools and educational institutions is reflected in their policies and stern discipline, and restriction of personal expression of the students.
- Parents AND children are concerned about ownership implications within marriage and the 'nuclear' family;
- 'Ownership' of offspring, that prevents welfare organisations from protecting at risk children;
- Spousal 'ownership' (validated often by laws), that interferes with social welfare attempts to reduce domestic violence and abuse.
- Corporate mentalities and workplace expectations that assume salaries and pay packets 'buy' a worker's freedom and rights – even their health;
- Nations and cultures which assume 'ownership' of their citizens and even restrict their right to come and go...;
- Creeds and religions that actively restrict the freedom of one's own relationship with the Divine.
- Concepts and experience of Sovereignty which embraces becoming stewards and guardians of the other Kingdoms in nature: the mineral, plant and animal kingdoms.

Indeed, the topic of 'ownership' is worthy of an entire chapter! This is a revealing paradigm buster. It seems to be so deeply entrenched and subconscious and yet is actively expressed and manifest within our daily lives and most particularly in our social structures.

3. Over the years of classes, group discussions, forums, debates and studies, there is evidence of an emerging social **group** consciousness that embraces all its participating members in a synergistic process. It is an exhilarating and welcome development.

Synergy and synthesis that is inclusive of existing life forms is closer to the natural process of a dynamic creation. In my own classes, workshops and retreats, this approach actually works very well indeed! When we assume all participants have something to offer, an abundance of knowledge, insights, ideas and solution seems to surface. We even extend this practise to include feasts and banquets wherein everyone brings something to share. The diversity and harmony of what we 'put in together' is healthy, delicious and exciting.

Human activities that interfere and restrict this process result in the destruction of the source and perpetuation of life. As a State and Private School Secondary teacher and Educational Consultant I have observed the effects upon the natural curiosity and 'buzz' for learning. As a welfare worker I have seen first hand how social policies (and the status quo) that is exclusive rather than inclusive - cause rapid degeneration of the vital social group.

4. Scientific exploration has been pre-occupied with the Source of Life and the structural or mechanistic view of the Universe. Research has focussed upon finding **Fixed Laws** to explain the world in which we abide. Is this what is referred to as 'seeking to control' our experiences and interactions with life? Perhaps *exploration* of Life – of what sustains and enhances life - may reveal a great deal more than seeking the source or the 'secret' to the structure of life. In the process we may even cease the unnatural 'struggle' of human-versus-nature and enjoy being alive for the temporary experiences that we have.

When we put together the themes of these first Four Chapters, we have been introduced to the qualities of being that enhance or detract from the quantity of **Natural** life force.

In any of the groups with which ShaVrill are 'in session', they consciously and deliberately embrace all the participants. There is no mediocrity involved nor average mean, nor middle ground. Rather, everyone is considered and the responses and teachings given include all the students. This is successfully accomplished despite the participants and students presenting with a very wide range

The current smaller cycle of Neptune in Aquarius may well be preparing the ground for the bigger cycle known as the Aquarian Age. Even in traditional astrology, this is the area of GROUP consciousness which is more advanced than social consciousness.

In today's world we humans are now conscious of being a species on a planet in a Universe. Are we in fact only just now becoming self-conscious of being HuMan? Is this the beginning of the species' actualisation?

I hope so, for in 2007 it seems, once again, to be heading for its 'own demise'.

History and archaeology reveals that the human species has gone through many cycles of growth and destruction. Some have been caused by natural earth changes which we experience as 'disasters'. Some have been caused by our own bloody wars and destructive activities.

Are we today, 'coming of Age' as indicated by the current debates and discussions about the consequences of our behaviour? Is there a glimmer of Light and passion for Life inherent in the urge to find solutions and create different lifestyles that are in harmony with this beautiful planet?

Is the species emerging from a collective 'dark night of the soul' of humanity and wanting now to continue to [LIVE](#)?

The ShaVrill team taught us to study the mirror reflection of the words [LIVE](#) and [LIVED](#).

The truth, they told us, is that we can choose consciously and purposefully to [LIVE](#) and to have [LIVED](#). This allows us to not regret our 'whoops and ouch' experiences but to realise the potential we have to gain in wisdom from life. The mirror reflection is 'Not Real', they would say. They would remind us of our Solar Village Frequency of the (blue) spectrum – the second of the Seven Universal Rays: the Ray of [Love –Wisdom](#).

Sojourn indeed!

Phew !